

# EECS205003 Linear Algebra, Fall 2020

## Quiz # 11, Solutions

### Prob. 1:

By the definition, the orthogonal complement  $S^\perp$  of  $S$  in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is the set

$$S^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{s} \text{ for all } \mathbf{s} \in S\}.$$

Since  $S = \{(1 + i, 3, -4i), (2 - i, 2i, 4 + 5i)\}$ , we have the equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - i & 3 & 4i \\ 2 + i & -2i & 4 - 5i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 - i & 3 & 4i & 0 \\ 2 + i & -2i & 4 - 5i & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & \frac{3}{1-i} & \frac{4i}{1-i} & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{-2i}{2+i} & \frac{4-5i}{2+i} & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & \frac{3+3i}{2} & \frac{-4+4i}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{-2-4i}{5} & \frac{3-14i}{5} & 0 \end{array} \right] \\ \rightarrow & \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & \frac{3+3i}{2} & -2+2i & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-19-23i}{10} & \frac{26-48i}{10} & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & \frac{3+3i}{2} & -2+2i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{26-48i}{-19-23i} & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & \frac{-43-140i}{89} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{61+151i}{89} & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus the orthogonal complement  $S^\perp$  of  $S$  is

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 43 + 140i \\ -61 - 151i \\ 89 \end{bmatrix} t, t \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

### Prob. 2:

Since  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 1 \times 4 + (-1) \times 2 + 2 \times (-1) = 4 - 2 - 2 = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are orthogonal.

By Theorem 7.1.11, the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $W$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p} &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|} \rangle \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|} + \langle \mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \rangle \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \\ &= \left( 1 \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + (-1) \times \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} + 1 \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \right) \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left( 1 \times \frac{4}{\sqrt{21}} + (-1) \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{21}} + 1 \times \frac{-1}{\sqrt{21}} \right) \left\langle \frac{4}{\sqrt{21}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{21}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{21}} \right\rangle \\ &= \left( \frac{6}{7}, \frac{-4}{7}, \frac{9}{7} \right). \end{aligned}$$

### Prob. 3:

Since  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$  if and only if  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \overline{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} = 0$  if and only if  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$  is a pure imaginary number. For example,  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (i, i)$  have  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = (1 + i, 1 + i)$  and then

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2 + 2 = 4 = \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2,$$

but  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 1(-i) + 1(-i) = -2i \neq 0$ . The answer is No.

**Prob. 4:**

Since  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ , we have

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \text{ and } \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

and then

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$$

so that

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0.$$

Taking the difference, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$$

which shows that  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$  and then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ .

**Prob. 5:**

We check the four axioms of an inner product.

1. It is clear that when  $f \equiv 0$ ,

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \int_a^b f(x) \overline{f(x)} w(x) dx = \int_a^b 0 w(x) dx = 0.$$

To have  $\langle f, f \rangle > 0$  whenever  $f \neq 0$ , i.e.,

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \int_a^b f(x) \overline{f(x)} w(x) dx = \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 w(x) dx > 0 \quad \forall f \neq 0,$$

we must have  $w(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$  and  $w(x)$  not identical to the zero function in any subinterval  $[c, d]$  of  $[a, b]$  with  $c < d$ .

2.  $\langle f, g \rangle = \overline{\langle g, f \rangle}$ .

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x) \overline{g(x)} w(x) dx = \int_a^b \overline{g(x) \overline{f(x)} w(x)} dx = \overline{\langle g, f \rangle}$$

since  $w(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

$$3. \langle f + h, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle + \langle h, g \rangle.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f + h, g \rangle &= \int_a^b (f(x) + h(x))\overline{g(x)}w(x)dx \\ &= \int_a^b f(x)\overline{g(x)}w(x)dx + \int_a^b h(x)\overline{g(x)}w(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

$$4. \langle \alpha f, g \rangle = \alpha \langle f, g \rangle$$

$$\langle \alpha f, g \rangle = \int_a^b \alpha f(x)\overline{g(x)}w(x)dx = \alpha \int_a^b f(x)\overline{g(x)}w(x)dx = \alpha \langle f, g \rangle.$$

We conclude that  $w(x)$  should be non-negative on  $[a, b]$  and cannot be identical to the zero function in any subinterval  $[c, d]$  of  $[a, b]$  with  $c < d$ .

**Prob. 6:**

We redo the proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in a complex inner product space  $V$ .

If  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| = 0 = \|\mathbf{x}\|\|\mathbf{y}\|$  and the equality of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds. In this case,  $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$  is a linearly dependent set.

Assume  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Let

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle|}{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}, & \text{if } \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $|\alpha| = 1$  and  $\alpha \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = |\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle|$ . Then for all real number  $r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle \mathbf{x} - \gamma\alpha\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \gamma\alpha\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \gamma\alpha \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \gamma\bar{\alpha} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \gamma^2|\alpha|^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - 2\gamma|\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle| + \gamma^2 \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \left( \gamma - \frac{|\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle|}{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \right)^2 + \left( \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \frac{|\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle|^2}{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $\gamma = \frac{|\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle|}{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle}$ , we have

$$0 \leq \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \frac{|\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle|^2}{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle}.$$

And the equality holds if and only if  $\mathbf{x} - \gamma\alpha\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\alpha|\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle|}{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle}\mathbf{y}$ .

Thus if the equality of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds, then  $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$  is a linearly dependent set. Conversely, assume that  $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$  is a linear dependent set.

Then either  $\mathbf{x} = \beta\mathbf{y}$  or  $\mathbf{y} = \gamma\mathbf{x}$  for some complex numbers  $\beta, \gamma$ . In the former case, we have

$$|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| = |\beta| \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = |\beta| \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\beta\mathbf{y}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|.$$

And in the latter case,

$$|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| = |\gamma| \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = |\gamma| \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\gamma\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|.$$

In both cases, the equality of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds.

We conclude that the equality of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds if and only if  $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$  is a linearly dependent set.

**Prob. 7:**

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in an inner product space, we have

$$|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_o| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_o \|\mathbf{y}\|_o$$

with equality holds if and only if  $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$  is a linear dependent set. Since  $\mathbf{y} = (2, -1, 0)$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_o = \sqrt{2 \times 2^2 + 1 \times (-1)^2 + 2 \times 0^2} = 3.$$

Since  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_o \leq 10$ , we have

$$|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_o| \leq 3 \times \sqrt{10} = 3\sqrt{10}.$$

The largest value that  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_o$  can attain is  $3\sqrt{10}$ . And the largest value  $3\sqrt{10}$  is attained if and only if  $\mathbf{x} = \alpha\mathbf{y}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , where

$$\langle \alpha\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_o = \alpha \|\mathbf{y}\|_o^2 = 9\alpha = 3\sqrt{10}.$$

Thus we have  $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{3}$  and then  $\mathbf{x} = (\frac{\sqrt{10}}{3})(2, -1, 0)$  attains the largest value  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_o = 3\sqrt{10}$ .