EECS205003 Linear Algebra, Fall 2020 Quiz # 8, Solutions

Prob. 1:

^

- (a) No. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ are both invertible, but $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not invertible.
- (b) Denote $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -a & a-b \\ b & a-c \end{bmatrix} \middle| a, b \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. It is clear that S is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$.

$$\alpha \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -a & a-b \\ b & a-c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha \cdot a & \alpha \cdot a - \alpha \cdot b \\ \alpha \cdot b & \alpha \cdot a - \alpha \cdot c \end{bmatrix} \in S.$$

Thus S is closed under the vector addition and the scalar multiplication and then is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$.

Prob. 2:

(a)

$$T(U) = \{ (x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3, -2x_1 + x_3) | -x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 = 0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

= $\{ (x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3, -2x_1 + x_3) | -x_1 + 2x_2 = x_3, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \}$
= $\{ (x_1 - x_2 - 2(-x_1 + 2x_2), -2x_1 + (-x_1 + 2x_2)) | x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}$
= $\{ (3x_1 - 5x_2, -3x_1 + 2x_2) | x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}$
= $\{ x_1(3, -3) + x_2(-5, 2) | x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}$
= $\mathbb{R}^2.$

(b)

$$T^{-1}(V) = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | (x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3) - (-2x_1 + x_3) = 0 \}$$

= $\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | 3x_1 - 3x_3 = x_2 \}$
= $\{ (x_1, 3x_1 - 3x_3, x_3) | x_1, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \}$
= $\{ s(1, 3, 0) + t(0, -3, 1) | s, t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$

<u>Prob. 3:</u>

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & -2 & 2\\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -1\\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & -2 & 2\\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -1\\ 0 & 3 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 & -1\\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5}\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{5}\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -2 & 2\\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -2\\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 3\\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -2\\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 3\\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus A and B are not row equivalent, so that A and B do not have the same row space.

<u>Prob. 4:</u>

Of course, U is a nonempty set. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ be two elements in U and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. There are N and M such that $x_i = 0$ for all i > Nand $y_j = 0$ for all j > M. Let $z = x + y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots)$. Then for all $i > \max(N, M)$, we have

$$z_i = x_i + y_i = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Thus $z \in U$ and U is closed under the vector addition. Let $w = \alpha x = (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, \cdots)$. Then for all i > N,

$$w_i = \alpha x_i = \alpha 0 = 0.$$

Thus $w \in U$ and U is closed under the scalar multiplication. This proves that U is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^{∞} .

Prob. 5:

(a) Since the product AB exists, we can assume that A is an $m \times n$ matrix and B is an $n \times k$ matrix. From matrix multiplication, we have

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} A\mathbf{b}_1 & A\mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & A\mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{b}_i is the *i*-th column of matrix B. $\forall \mathbf{u} \in \text{Col}(AB)$, there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k$ in \mathbb{R} such that

$$\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 A \mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_2 A \mathbf{b}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k A \mathbf{b}_k$$

= $\alpha_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i b_{i1} + \alpha_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i b_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_k \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i b_{ik}$
= $(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i b_{1i}) \mathbf{a}_1 + (\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i b_{2i}) \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + (\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i b_{ni}) \mathbf{a}_n,$

where \mathbf{a}_i is the *i*-th column of matrix A and b_{ij} is the (ij)-th entry of matrix B. It is obvious that $\mathbf{u} \in \operatorname{Col}(A)$, we can conclude that $\operatorname{Col}(AB) \subseteq \operatorname{Col}(A)$.

(b) $\operatorname{Col}(AB) = \operatorname{Col}(A)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Col}(AB) \subseteq \operatorname{Col}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Col}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Col}(AB)$. We have shown that the former inclusion relation is always true in part (a). $\operatorname{Col}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Col}(AB)$ only if every column of A is in $\operatorname{Col}(AB)$. It means that for each column \mathbf{a}_i of A where $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_{i1}A\mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_{i2}A\mathbf{b}_2 + \dots + \alpha_{ik}A\mathbf{b}_k = \mathbf{a}_i$, i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A\mathbf{b}_1 & A\mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & A\mathbf{b}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i1} \\ \alpha_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{ik} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{a}_i.$$

Therefore if $[AB|\mathbf{a}_1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_n] = [AB|A]$ is consistent, then Col(AB) = Col(A).

<u>Prob. 6:</u>

Let A be a nonempty subset in a vector space V. It has been shown that Span(A) is a subspace of V. It is clear that $A \subseteq \text{Span}(A)$. Suppose that there is a subspace W of V which contains the set A and is smaller than Span(A). Then there is an element $\mathbf{b} \in \text{Span}(A)$ but $\mathbf{b} \notin W$. Since $\mathbf{b} \in \text{Span}(A)$, there exist $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_k$ in A and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k$ in \mathbb{R} such that

$$\mathbf{b} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \mathbf{a}_k.$$

Since $A \subseteq W$, $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k$ in W. Also since W is a subspace, any linear combination of $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k$ must be in W by the closure properties of vector spaces. Thus we have $\mathbf{b} \in W$, a contradiction. We conclude that Span(A) is the smallest subspace containing A.