# Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms



# Quick Sort Revisited

• Algorithm Quick Sort (Algorithm 3.2.5) is shown to have average complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$  and is repeated below.

#### Algorithm 11.1.1. Quick Sort

```
// Sort A[low : high] into nondecreasing order.
// Input: A[low : high], int low, high; Output: A[low : high] sorted.
1 Algorithm QuickSort(A, low, high)
2 {
3 if (low < high) then {
4 mid := partition(A, low, high + 1);
5 QuickSort(A, low, mid - 1);
6 QuickSort(A, mid + 1, high);
7 }
8 }
```

- It is a divide-and-conquer algorithm.
  - The divide function Partition is repeated as well.
    - It is also known that Partition has the worst-case complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  and average complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
    - The latter contributes to Quick Sort's  $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$  complexity.

### Partition Algorithm

#### Algorithm 11.1.2. Partition

// Partition A into  $A[low: mid - 1] \le A[mid]$  and  $A[mid + 1: high] \ge A[mid]$ . // Input: A, int low, high; Output: j that  $A[low: j-1] \le A[j] \le A[j+1: high]$ . 1 Algorithm Partition(A, low, high) 2 { 3 v := A[low]; i := low; j := high; // Initialize**repeat** { // Check for all elements. 4 repeat i := i + 1; until  $(A[i] \ge v)$ ; // Find i such that  $A[i] \ge v$ . 5 repeat j := j - 1; until  $(A[j] \le v)$ ; // Find j such that  $A[j] \le v$ . 6 if (i < j) then Swap(A, i, j); // Exchange A[i] and A[j]. 7 } until  $(i \ge j);$ 8 A[low] := A[j]; A[j] = v; // Move v to the right position.9 10 return j; 11 } 12 Algorithm Swap(A, i, j)13 { t := A[i]; A[i] := A[j]; A[j] := t;14 15 }

Algorithms (EE3980)

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

# Quick Sort CPU times



- QuickSort works well with random data
- However, with pre-sorted data its complexity is shown to be  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

## Randomized Quick Sort

• Randomized quick sort (Algorithm 3.2.8), has been proposed to improve the worst-case complexity.

#### Algorithm 11.1.3. Randomized Quick Sort



Algorithms (EE3980)

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

## Randomized Quick Sort CPU times



• RQuickSort is shown to be very effective in improving the time complexity to  $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$ .

## Randomized Quick Sort CPU times



• For random data, RQuickSort and QuickSort have similar CPU times.

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

- Randomized Quick Sort maintains worst-case complexity to  $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$ .
- Randomized algorithms can be effective in some applications.

# Randomized Selection Algorithm

Algorithms (EE3980)

- The Partition algorithm is also used in Select1, Algorithm (tcb3.3.1).
- Similar randomization technique, line 5 of Algorithm RQuickSort can be applied to improve performance.
- Overall average complexity does not change.
- But, CPU tends to get better with randomization.
  - Chance of getting worst-case performance is very small.
  - Smaller for larger  $\overline{n_{\star}}$

June 10, 2019

7 / 24

- Given a undirected graph, G(V, E), |V| = n, and |E| = e, an edge cut, or cut, in G is a subset C ⊂ E such that C's removal disconnects G into two or more components.
- A minimum cut is a cut with minimum |C|.
- Given an edge (u, v) ∈ E, u, v, ∈ V, (u, v) is contracted if vertices u and v are merged into one, all edges connecting u and v are deleted, and all other edges are retained.
- Note that contraction of an edge may result in multiple edges connecting two vertices, but no self-loops, so *G* may become a multigraph after contraction.

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

## Edge Contraction and a Cut

Algorithms (EE3980)

• Using edge contraction to find a cut set.



• Though a cut set if found, it is not the minimum cut set.

## Min-Cut Algorithm

- Using edge contraction, we can find a cut set.
- The following randomized algorithm tries to find a minimum cut set.

#### Algorithm 11.1.4. Min Cut

```
// Find min-cut given a graph.
   // Input: G(V, E); Output: min-cut set C \subset E.
 1 Algorithm MinCut(V, E, C)
 2 {
 3
        C = E; // Initialize cut set to E.
        for i := 1 to r do { // repeat r times.
 4
             V' := V; E' := E; // Initialize V' and E'.
 5
             while (|V'| > 2) do { // Contract until two vertices remaining.
 6
                  choose (u, v) \in E' randomly ;
 7
                  Contract(V', E', (u, v)); // Perform contraction.
 8
             }
 9
             if (|E'| < |C|) then C := E'; //E' is a cut set.
10
        }
11
12 }
```

Algorithms (EE3980)

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

# Min-Cut Algorithm Analysis

- When only two vertices remaining in V' (line 6 of (Algorithm 11.1.4), E' is a cut set.
- We will analyze the probability of finding the minimum cut of the inner loop, lines 5-10, of the MinCut algorithm.
- Assuming |C| = k, that is, there are k edges in C, then
  - 1. The minimum vertex degree is k, otherwise removing a smaller number of edges would isolate the vertex which contradicts to the assumption.
  - 2. The minimum number of edges is then kn/2 for G is a undirected graph.
- Since *C* is the min-cut, the first edge selected cannot be in *C*, and the probability of not selecting min-cut edge is

$$1 - \frac{k}{kn/2} = 1 - \frac{2}{n}.$$
 (11.1.1)

• By the same reason, the probability of not selecting the a min-cut edge on the 2nd selection is

$$1 - \frac{k}{k(n-1)/2} = 1 - \frac{2}{n-1}.$$
 (11.1.2)

June 10, 2019

11/24

#### Min-Cut Algorithm Analysis, II

• And, for the *i*-th selection the probability of not selecting a min-cut edge is

$$1 - \frac{k}{k(n-i+1)/2} = 1 - \frac{2}{n-i+1}.$$
(11.1.3)

• The loop terminates when there are two vertices left, with i = n - 2, and the probability of not selecting edges in C is

$$1 - \frac{k}{k(n - (n - 2) + 1)/2} = 1 - \frac{2}{3}.$$
 (11.1.4)

• All conditions, Eq. (11.1.1 – 11.1.4), must be met and we have the probability of getting the min-cut set as

$$P(C = \min\text{-cut}) = (1 - \frac{2}{n})(1 - \frac{2}{n-1})\cdots(1 - \frac{2}{3})$$
  
=  $\frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-4}{n-2}\cdots \frac{2}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$   
=  $\frac{2}{n(n-1)}$   
<  $\frac{2}{n^2}$ . (11.1.5)

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

## Min-Cut Algorithm Analysis, III

• Thus, the probability of not getting the min-cut in one iteration is

$$1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \ge 1 - \frac{2}{n^2}.$$
(11.1.6)

The equality holds for  $n \gg 1$ .

• The inner loop is repeated *r* times, and the probability of not getting the min-cut is then

$$1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)})^r \ge (1 - \frac{2}{n^2})^r.$$
(11.1.7)

Conversely, the probability of gettting min-cut is

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)}\right)^r \le 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n^2}\right)^r.$$
 (11.1.8)

• Setting  $r = \frac{n^2}{2}$ , assuming large n we have the probability of getting the min-cut be

$$1 - (1 - \frac{2}{n^2})^{n^2/2} = 1 - \frac{1}{e}.$$
 (11.1.9)

The last equation comes from Eq. (1.4.21).

- The overall time complexity is
  - Each Contract takes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  operations.
  - n-2 Contact performed for one iteration,  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .
  - Repeating  $n^2/2$  times result in  $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$  complexity.

#### Las Vegas vs. Monte Carlo algorithms

- In this MinCut randomized algorithm, Algorithm (11.1.4), to find a minimum cutting set, Eq. (11.1.8) shows as the number of iterations increases, the probability of getting the right answer increases as well.
- At the end of each iteration, we have a cut set. But, it is not necessarily the minimum cut set.
- The algorithm can stop for any integer number of iterations, but not guaranteeing the optimal answer.
- This is called the Monte Carlo type of randomized algorithm.
- In contrast, the Randomized Quick Sort, Algorithm (11.1.1) always produces the right answer.

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

• The latter is called the Las Vegas type of randomized algorithm.

## Monte Carlo Integration

Algorithms (EE3980)

- Closed form solution for integration can be difficult to carry out.
- Numerical integration is usually preferred.
- An alternative approach is the Monte Carlo method.



## Monte Carlo Integration – Algorithm

• Given a function f(x), the definite integral is to be solved for.

$$\int_{x=a}^{b} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
h,  $a \le x \le b$ .

(11.1.10)

June 10, 2019

17/24

It is assumed that  $0 \le f(x) \le f(x)$ 

#### Algorithm 11.1.5. Monte Carlo Integration

 	To find $\int_{x=a}^{b} f(x) d$ , $0 \le f(x) \le h$ . Input: $a, b, h$ ; Output: integral.
1 Alg	where $rate(a, b, h)$
2 {	
3	I:=0;
4	for $i := 1$ to $N$ do $\{$
5	$x := \operatorname{rand}(a, b);$
6	$y:= \operatorname{rand}(0,h);$
7	if $y \leq \mathit{f}(x)$ then $\mathit{I}:=\mathit{I}+1$ :
8	}
9	$\texttt{return } I\!/N\!\!\times\!(b-a)\!\times\!h;$
10 }	

#### Algorithms (EE3980)

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

## Monte Carlo Integration – Analysis

- It is also assumed rand(a, b) function generates a random number uniformly in the range [a, b].
- The loop, lines 4-8, executes N times, thus the time complexity is  $\Theta(N)$ .
- As N increases, the function should return value approaches the real integral.



## **Multi-dimensional Integration**

• Multi-dimensional integration can also be implemented easily using Monte Carlo approach.

 $I_2 = 6$ 

• For example,

Algorithms (EE3980)

$$I_1 = 4 \int_{x=0}^1 \sqrt{1 - x^2} \, \mathrm{d}x$$





 $\sqrt{1 - x^2}$  –

#### Unit 11. Randomized Algorithm

June 10, 2019 19/24

## Monte Carlo Integration and Random Function

- Monte carlo integration algorithms are very easy to implement.
- Solution accuracy appears to increase with number of samples (N).
  - Error decreases linearly with N, but not monotonically.
- Uniformity of random number distribution affects the accuracy.
  - Choosing a good random number generator is very important.
- Multi-dimensional integration is easily generalized from 1-dimensional integration.
- Monte carlo integration is more effective in multi-dimensional integration problems.
- Lower dimension integrations can use more effective deterministic formulas, such as Newton-Cotes formulas.

## Matrix Verification Problem

- Given three  $N \times N$  matrices, A, B and C, where C approximates  $A \times B$ , and we need to find if  $C = A \times B$ .
- Brute force approach

Algorithms (EE3980)

- 1. Find  $D = A \times B$ ,
  - 2. Check if C[i,j] = D[i,j],  $1 \le i,j \le N$ .
- Step 1 is  $\Theta(N^3)$  since  $D[i, j] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A[i, k] \times B[k, j]$

and there are  $N^2$  elements in D.

- Step 2 is  $\Theta(N^2)$  time due to  $N^2$  elements.
- Thus, brute force approach is  $\Theta(N^3)$ .
  - For large N it can be very time consuming.

# Matrix Verification – Monte Carlo Approach

• Matrix verification problem can be solved using Freivald's technique.

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithm

Algorithm 11.1.6. Matrix Verification

```
// Given N \times N matrices A, B and C, check if C = A \times B.
   // Input: A, B, C, N; Output: 1: if C = A \times B, 0: otherwise.
 1 Algorithm MatVerify(A, B, C, N)
 2 {
 3
         for i := 1 to N do // Generate random vector r, r[i] = 0 or 1.
              if RAND(0,1) < 0.5 then r[i] = 0;
 4
              else r[i] = 1;
 5
         x := A \times (B \times r); // Two matrix-vector multiplications.
 6
         y := C \times r; // Matrix vector multiplication.
 7
         for i := 1 to N do // Check if x = y.
 8
              if x[i] \neq y[i] then return 0;
 9
         return 1;
10
11 }
```

- r is an N-vector with r[i] = 0 or 1,  $1 \le i \le N$ .
- RAND(0,1) generates a random number uniformly in the range [0,1].

## Matrix Verification – Analysis

- In the preceding algorithm, loops on lines 3-5 and 8-9 both execute  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  times.
- Lines 6 and 7 consist of 3 matrix-vector multiplications,  $\Theta(N^2)$ .
- Thus, overall complexity is  $\Theta(N^2)$ .
  - This is much faster than the brute force approach.

#### Theorem 11.1.7.

Given three  $N \times N$  matrices A, B and C,  $A \times B \neq C$  and a randomly generated N-vector r, r[i] = 0 or 1,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , then the probability that Algorithm MatVerify returns 1 is less than or equal to 1/2.

YAG WALLER , YAG ,

**Proof.** Assume that C and  $A \times B$  differs only at C[i, j], then r[j] needs to be 1 such that MatVerify would return 0. The chance that r[j] = 1 is 1/2, thus proves the theorem.

- One call to Algorithm MatVerify has the failure rate of 1/2.
- Repeat the algorithm k times one gets the failure rate  $(1/2)^k$ .
  - The complexity is still  $\Theta(N^2)$  for fixed k.
- Thus, this approach can very effective in verifying matrix equality problem.

Unit 11. Randomized Algorithms

#### Summary

Quick sort revisited

Algorithms (EE3980)

- Average-case complexity vs. worst-case
- Randomized quick sort
  - Avoiding worst-case complexity
  - Las Vegas type of randomized algorithm
- Graph min-cut problem
- Randomized integration algorithms
- Matrix verification problem
- Monte Carlo type of randomized algorithms
  - Have been used in solving physics problems

June 10, 2019

23/24